

A NOTE ON MODULI OF VECTOR BUNDLES ON RATIONAL SURFACES

KŌTA YOSHIOKA

0. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, H) be a pair of a smooth rational surface X and an ample divisor H on X . Assume that $(K_X, H) < 0$. Let $\overline{M}_H(r, c_1, \chi)$ be the moduli space of semi-stable sheaves E of $\text{rk}(E) = r$, $c_1(E) = c_1$ and $\chi(E) = \chi$. To consider relations between moduli spaces of different invariants is an interesting problem. If $(c_1, H) = 0$ and $\chi \leq 0$, then Maruyama [Ma2], [Ma3] studied such relations and constructed a contraction map $\phi : \overline{M}_H(r, c_1, \chi) \rightarrow \overline{M}_H(r - \chi, c_1, 0)$. Moreover he showed that the image is the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space of μ -stable vector bundles. In particular, he gave an algebraic structure on Uhlenbeck compactification which was topologically constructed before. After Maruyama's result, Li [Li] constructed the birational contraction for general cases, by using a canonical determinant line bundle, and gave an algebraic structure on Uhlenbeck compactification. Although Maruyama's method works only for special cases, his construction is interesting of its own. Let us briefly recall his construction. Let E be a semi-stable sheaf of $\text{rk}(E) = r$, $c_1(E) = c_1$ and $\chi(E) = \chi$. Then $H^i(X, E) = 0$ for $i = 0, 2$. We consider a universal extension

$$(0.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow H^1(X, E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

Maruyama showed that F is a semi-stable sheaf of $\text{rk}(F) = r - \chi$, $c_1(F) = c_1$ and $\chi(F) = 0$. Then we have a map $\phi : \overline{M}_H(r, c_1, \chi) \rightarrow \overline{M}_H(r - \chi, c_1, 0)$. He showed that ϕ is an immersion on the open subscheme consisting of μ -stable vector bundles and the image of ϕ is the Uhlenbeck compactification. For the proof, the rigidity of $\mathcal{O}_X$ is essential. In this note, we replace $\mathcal{O}_X$ by other rigid and stable vector bundles E_0 and show that similar results hold, if E_0 -twisted degree $\deg_{E_0}(E) := (c_1(E_0^\vee \otimes E), H) = 0$. If H is a general polarization, then we also show that $\text{im } \phi$ is normal (Theorem 3.5).

We are also motivated by our study of sheaves on K3 surfaces. For K3 and abelian surfaces, integral functor called Fourier-Mukai functor gives an equivalence of derived categories of coherent sheaves, and under suitable conditions, we get a birational correspondence of moduli spaces (cf. [Y3], [Y5], [Y6]). For rational surfaces, we can rarely expect such an equivalence (cf. [Br]). For example, an analogue of Mukai's reflection [Mu1] (which is given by (0.1)) may lose some information. Indeed we get our contraction map $\phi : \overline{M}_H(r, c_1, \chi) \rightarrow \overline{M}_H(r - \chi, c_1, 0)$.

In section 4, we also consider the relation of different moduli spaces in the case where $\deg_{E_0} E = 1$. Then we find some relations on (virtual) Hodge numbers (or Betti numbers) of moduli spaces. If $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, by using known results on Hodge numbers ([E-S], [Y1]), we calculate Hodge numbers of some low dimensional moduli spaces. We also determine the boundary of ample cones in some cases.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Twisted stability. Let X be a smooth projective surface. Let $K(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of X . For $x \in K(X)$, we set

$$(1.1) \quad \gamma(x) := (\text{rk } x, c_1(x), \chi(x)) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\gamma : K(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is a surjective homomorphism and $\ker \gamma$ is generated by $\mathcal{O}_X(D) - \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathbb{C}_P - \mathbb{C}_Q$, where $D \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ and $P, Q \in X$. For $\gamma = (r, c_1, \chi) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\text{rk } \gamma = r$, $c_1(\gamma) = c_1$ and $\chi(\gamma) = \chi$. $K(X)$ is equipped with a bilinear form $\chi(\ , \)$:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K(X) \times K(X) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ (x, y) & \mapsto & \chi(x, y) \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that

Lemma 1.1. $\chi(x, y) = \chi(y, x) + (K_X, c_1(y^\vee \otimes x))$, $x, y \in K(X)$.

$\chi(\ , \)$ induces a bilinear form on $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. We also denote it by $\chi(\ , \)$: $\chi(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) = \chi(x, y)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_H(\gamma)^{\mu\text{-ss}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_H(\gamma)^{\mu\text{-s}}$) be the moduli stack of μ -semi-stable sheaves (resp. μ -stable sheaves) E such that $\gamma(E) = \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

For $G \in K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of $\text{rk } G > 0$, we define G -twisted rank, degree, and Euler characteristic of $x \in K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{rk}_G(x) &:= \text{rk}(G^\vee \otimes x) \\ \deg_G(x) &:= (c_1(G^\vee \otimes x), H) \\ \chi_G(x) &:= \chi(G^\vee \otimes x). \end{aligned}$$

For $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, we get

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\deg_G(x)}{\text{rk}_G(x)} = \frac{\deg_{tG}(x)}{\text{rk}_{tG}(x)}, \quad \frac{\chi_G(x)}{\text{rk}_G(x)} = \frac{\chi_{tG}(x)}{\text{rk}_{tG}(x)}.$$

We shall define G -twisted stability.

Definition 1.1 ([Y6]). Let E be a torsion free sheaf on X . E is G -twisted semi-stable (resp. stable) with respect to H , if

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\chi_G(F(nH))}{\text{rk}_G(F)} \leq \frac{\chi_G(E(nH))}{\text{rk}_G(E)}, n \gg 0$$

for $0 \subsetneq F \subsetneq E$ (resp. the inequality is strict).

It is easy to see that the following relations hold:

$$(1.6) \quad \mu\text{-stable} \Rightarrow G\text{-twisted stable} \Rightarrow G\text{-twisted semi-stable} \Rightarrow \mu\text{-semi-stable}.$$

For a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor α , we define α -twisted stability as $\mathcal{O}_X(\alpha)$ -twisted stability. This is nothing but the twisted stability introduced by Matsuki and Wentworth [M-W]. It is easy to see that G -twisted stability is determined by $\alpha = \det(G)/\text{rk } G$. Hence G -twisted stability is the same as the Matsuki-Wentworth stability.

Definition 1.2. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{M}_H^G(\gamma)^{ss}$ be the moduli stack of G -twisted semi-stable sheaves E of $\gamma(E) = \gamma$ and $\mathcal{M}_H^G(\gamma)^s$ the open substack consisting of G -twisted stable sheaves. For usual stability, i.e, $G = \mathcal{O}_X$, we denote $\mathcal{M}_H^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\gamma)^{ss}$ by $\mathcal{M}_H(\gamma)^{ss}$.

Theorem 1.2 ([M-W]). *There is a coarse moduli scheme $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H^G(\gamma)$ of S -equivalence classes of G -twisted semi-stable sheaves E of $\gamma(E) = \gamma$.*

2. CONSTRUCTION OF CONTRACTION MAP

From now on, we assume that (X, H) is a pair of a rational surface X and an ample divisor H on X . Then $\gamma : K(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism. Assume that $(K_X, H) < 0$. Let E_0 be a exceptional vector bundle which is stable with respect H . Let $e_0 \in K(X)$ be the class of E_0 in $K(X)$. We set $\gamma_0 := \gamma(E_0)$ and $\omega := \gamma(\mathbb{C}_P)$, $P \in X$. We define homomorphism $L_{e_0}, R_{e_0} : K(X) \rightarrow K(X)$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{e_0}(x) &:= x - \chi(x, e_0)e_0, x \in K(X), \\ R_{e_0}(x) &:= x - \chi(e_0, x)e_0, x \in K(X). \end{aligned}$$

Then the following relation holds.

Lemma 2.1. $\chi(x, R_{e_0}(y)) = \chi(L_{e_0}(x), y)$ for $x, y \in K(X)$.

2.1. Existence of μ -stable vector bundle. In this subsection, we shall give a sufficient condition for $\mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-s}$ to be non-empty.

Lemma 2.2. $\mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss}$ is smooth of $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss} = 2ra \text{rk } E_0 - r^2$.

Proof. For $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss}$, $\text{Ext}^2(E, E) \cong \text{Hom}(E, E(K_X))^\vee = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss}$ is smooth and $\dim \mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss} = \dim \text{Ext}^1(E, E) - \dim \text{Hom}(E, E) = -\chi(E, E) = 2ra \text{rk } E_0 - r^2$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. If $\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^s \neq \emptyset$, then $r = 1$ and $a = 0$, or $a \text{rk } E_0 - r \geq 0$.

Proof. Let E be an element of $\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^s$. Since E is simple and $\text{Ext}^2(E, E) = 0$, $1 \geq \chi(E, E) = r^2 - 2ra \text{rk } E_0$. Hence $a \geq \frac{1}{2 \text{rk } E_0}(r - \frac{1}{r}) \geq 0$. If $\chi(E_0, E) = r - a \text{rk } E_0 > 0$, then there is a non-zero homomorphism $E_0 \rightarrow E$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{\text{rk } E_0} = \frac{\chi(E_0, E_0)}{\text{rk } E_0} \leq \frac{\chi(E_0, E)}{r \text{rk } E_0} = \frac{r - a \text{rk } E_0}{r \text{rk } E_0}.$$

Therefore $a = 0$ and $r = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. Let E be a μ -semi-stable sheaf of $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$. Then $ev : \text{Hom}(E_0, E) \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E$ is injective and $\text{coker}(ev)$ is μ -semi-stable.

Proof. We set $G := \ker(ev)$. Assume that $G \neq 0$. Let G_0 be a μ -stable locally free subsheaf of G such that $\deg_{E_0} G_0 = 0$. Then we get a non-zero homomorphism $\phi : G_0 \rightarrow E_0$. Since G_0 is locally free, ϕ must be an isomorphism. Hence $\text{Hom}(E_0, G_0) \neq 0$. On the other hand, ev induces an isomorphism $\text{Hom}(E_0, \text{Hom}(E_0, E) \otimes E_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_0, E)$. Hence $\text{Hom}(E_0, G) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $G = 0$. We next show that $I := \text{coker}(ev)$ is μ -semi-stable. Assume that I has a torsion submodule T . Then $J := \ker(E \rightarrow I/T)$ is a submodule of E containing $\text{im}(ev)$. By the μ -semi-stability of E , $0 \leq \deg_{E_0}(J) = \deg_{E_0}(T)$. Hence T is of dimension 0. Since $\text{im}(ev)$ is locally free, $J = \text{im}(ev)$. Thus I is torsion free. Then it is easy to see that $\text{coker}(ev)$ is μ -semi-stable. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *If $\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss} \neq \emptyset$, then $a \geq 0$.*

Proof. If $a < 0$, then $\dim \text{Hom}(E_0, E) > r$ for $E \in \mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss}$. By Lemma 2.4, we get a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 2.6. *$\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss} \neq \emptyset$, if $r - a \text{rk } E_0 \leq 0$. Moreover, there is a μ -stable locally free sheaf E of $\gamma(E) = r\gamma_0 - a\omega$.*

Proof. Let W be a closed substack of $\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss}$ such that E belongs to W if and only if there is a quotient $E \rightarrow G$ such that $(c_1(G)/\text{rk } G, H) = (c_1(\gamma_0)/\text{rk } \gamma_0, H)$ but $c_1(G)/\text{rk } G \neq c_1(\gamma_0)/\text{rk } \gamma_0$. Let $f : E_0^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^a \mathbb{C}_{x_i}$, $x_i \in X$ be a surjective homomorphism. Then $E := \ker f$ is μ -semi-stable and does not belong to W . Hence $\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss} \setminus W$ is a non-empty open substack of $\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss}$. For pairs of integers (r_1, a_1) and (r_2, a_2) such that $r_1, r_2 > 0$, $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$ and $(r_1 + r_2, a_1 + a_2) = (r, a)$, let $N(r_1, a_1; r_2, a_2)$ be the substack of $\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)$ consisting of E which fits in an exact sequence:

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow 0$$

where E_1 is a μ -stable sheaf of $\gamma(E_1) = r_1\gamma_0 - a_1\omega$ and E_2 is a μ -semi-stable sheaf of $\gamma(E_2) = r_2\gamma_0 - a_2\omega$. By [D-L, sect. 1] or [Y4, Lem. 5.2],

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{codim } N(r_1, a_1; r_2, a_2) &\geq -\chi(E_1, E_2) \\ &= (a_1 r_2 + a_2 r_1) \text{rk } E_0 - r_1 r_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, $(a_1 + a_2) \text{rk } E_0 - (r_1 + r_2) \geq 0$. Hence if $a_1 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$, then we get $(a_1 r_2 + a_2 r_1) \text{rk } E_0 - r_1 r_2 \geq 0$. If $a_1, a_2 > 0$, then by using Lemma 2.3 again, we see that $(a_1 r_2 + a_2 r_1) \text{rk } E_0 - r_1 r_2 \geq a_2 r_1 \text{rk } E_0 > 0$. Therefore $N(r_1, a_1; r_2, a_2)$ is a proper substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss} \setminus W$, which implies that $\mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss} \neq \emptyset$. By [Y1, Thm. 0.4], the locus of non-locally free sheaves is of codimension $r \text{rk } E_0 - 1 > 0$ (use (3.6)). Hence $\mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - a\omega)^{\mu-ss}$ contains a locally free sheaf. $\square$

2.2. Universal extension and the contraction map. We define a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $X \times X$ by the following exact sequence

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow p_1^*(E_0^\vee) \otimes p_2^*(E_0) \xrightarrow{ev} \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{E}$ is p_2 -flat and $\mathcal{E}_x := \mathcal{E}_{\{x\} \times X}$ is a E_0 -twisted stable sheaf of $\gamma(\mathcal{E}_x) = \text{rk}(E_0)\gamma(E_0) - \omega$. In particular $\chi(E_0, \mathcal{E}_x) = 0$.

Lemma 2.7. *For a μ -semi-stable sheaf E of $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$,*

$$(2.6) \quad p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E_0)) = R^2 p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E_0)) = 0.$$

Proof. For $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(\gamma)^{\mu-ss}$, Lemma 2.4 implies that $ev : \text{Hom}(E_0, E) \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E$ is injective. Hence $p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E)) = 0$. Since $(K_X, H) < 0$, $\deg_{E_0}(E(-K_X)) > \deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$. Hence $\text{Ext}^2(E_0, E) = \text{Hom}(E(-K_X), E_0)^\vee = 0$. Then $R^2 p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E)) \cong \text{Ext}^2(E_0, E) \otimes E_0 = 0$. $\square$

The following is our main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $e \in K(X)$ be a class such that $\text{rk } e > 0$ and $\deg_{E_0}(e) = 0$. Then we have a morphism $\phi_{\gamma(e)} : \overline{M}_H(\gamma(e)) \rightarrow \overline{M}_H^{E_0}(\gamma(\hat{e}))$ sending E to the S -equivalence class of $R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E))$ and the restriction of $\phi_{\gamma(e)}$ to $M_H(\gamma)^{\mu-s, loc}$ is an immersion, where $\hat{e} = R_{e_0}(e)$ and $M_H(\gamma(e))^{\mu-s, loc}$ is the open subscheme consisting of μ -stable vector bundles. If $\bigoplus_i E_i$ is the S -equivalence class of E with respect to μ -stability, then $\phi_{\gamma(e)}(E)$ is uniquely determined by $\bigoplus_i E_i^{\vee\vee}$ and the location of pinch points of $\bigoplus_i E_i$.*

In order to prove this theorem, we prepare some lemmas.

Lemma 2.9.

$$(2.7) \quad R p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E_0)) = 0.$$

Proof. By (2.5), we have an exact sequence

$$(2.8) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, E_0) \otimes E_0 \xrightarrow{ev} E_0 \rightarrow R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E_0)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E_0) \otimes E_0.$$

Since ev is isomorphic and $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E_0) = 0$, we get that $R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E_0)) = 0$. Therefore we get our claim. $\square$

Lemma 2.10. *For a μ -semi-stable sheaf E of $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$,*

$$(2.9) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E))) = 0.$$

Proof. By Leray spectral sequence and projection formula,

$$(2.10) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E))) = H^1(X \times X, \mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E) \otimes p_2^*(E_0^\vee)).$$

Since $\mathbf{R}p_{1*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_2^*(E_0^\vee)) = 0$, $\mathbf{R}p_{1*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E) \otimes p_2^*(E_0^\vee)) = \mathbf{R}p_{1*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_2^*(E_0^\vee)) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} E = 0$. $\square$

For simplicity, we set $\widehat{E} := R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E))$.

Proposition 2.11. *For a μ -semi-stable sheaf E of $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$, $\widehat{E}$ is a E_0 -twisted semi-stable sheaf of $\chi(E_0, \widehat{E}) = 0$.*

Proof. By (2.5), $\widehat{E}$ fits in an exact sequence

$$(2.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, E) \otimes E_0 \xrightarrow{ev} E \rightarrow \widehat{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E) \otimes E_0 \rightarrow 0$$

By Lemma 2.4, $\widehat{E}$ is μ -semi-stable. It is easy to see that $\chi(E_0, \widehat{E}) = 0$. Assume that $\widehat{E}$ is not semi-stable and let G be a destabilizing subsheaf. Then $\chi(E_0, G)/\mathrm{rk} G > 0$. By our assumption on H , $\mathrm{Ext}^2(E_0, G) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, G) \neq 0$, which contradicts to Lemma 2.10. $\square$

Remark 2.1. If E is E_0 -twisted semi-stable such that $\chi(E_0, E) \leq 0$, then $\widehat{E}$ fits in an exact sequence

$$(2.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \widehat{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E) \otimes E_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2.10, (2.12) is a universal extension.

Lemma 2.12. *Let E be a μ -stable vector bundle of $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$. Then $\widehat{E}$ is E_0 -twisted stable.*

Proof. We may assume that $E \neq E_0$. Then $\widehat{E}$ fits in a universal extension

$$(2.13) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \widehat{E} \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus h} \rightarrow 0$$

where $h = \dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E)$. Assume that $\widehat{E}$ is not E_0 -twisted stable. Then there is a E_0 -twisted stable subsheaf G_1 of $\widehat{E}$ such that $G_2 := \widehat{E}/G_1$ is E_0 -twisted semi-stable. If E is contained in G_1 , then we get a homomorphism $E_0^{\oplus h} \rightarrow G_2$. Since $\chi(E_0, G_2)/\mathrm{rk} G_2 = 0 < \chi(E_0, E_0^{\oplus h})/h \mathrm{rk} E_0$, we get a contradiction. Hence E is not contained in G_1 . Since E is μ -stable, we get $E \cap G_1 = 0$. Hence $G_1 \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus h}$ is injective. Let G' be a μ -stable locally free subsheaf of G_1 . Then we see that $G' \cong E_0$, which implies that G_1 is not E_0 -twisted stable. Therefore $\widehat{E}$ is E_0 -twisted stable. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.8: Let $\{\mathcal{F}_s\}_{s \in S}$ be a flat family of μ -semi-stable sheaves of $\deg_{E_0}(\mathcal{F}_s) = 0$. Then Lemma 2.7 and Proposition 2.11 imply that $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_s\}_{s \in S}$ is also a flat family of E_0 -twisted semi-stable sheaves (cf. [Mu2, Thm. 1.6]). Hence we get a morphism $\phi_{\gamma(e)} : \overline{M}_H(\gamma(e)) \rightarrow \overline{M}_H(\gamma(\hat{e}))$. Let E be a μ -stable vector bundle of $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$ and $\varphi : E \rightarrow T$ be a quotient such that T is of dimension 0. Then for $F := \ker \varphi$, we get an exact sequence

$$(2.14) \quad 0 \rightarrow p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(T)) \rightarrow \widehat{F} \rightarrow \widehat{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $0 \subset T_1 \subset T_2 \subset \cdots \subset T_n = T$ be a filtration such that $T_i/T_{i-1} \cong \mathbb{C}_{x_i}$, $x_i \in X$ (i.e, Jordan-Hölder filtration with respect to Simpson's stability). Then $G := p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(T))$ has a filtration $0 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset \cdots \subset G_n = G$ such that $G_i/G_{i-1} \cong \mathcal{E}_{x_i}$. Since $\widehat{E}$ is stable, the S -equivalence class of $\widehat{F}$ is $\widehat{E} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}_{x_i}$.

For a μ -semi-stable sheaf E of $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$, let $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_i$ be an S -equivalence class of E with respect to μ -stability. Let $\bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}_{x_{i,j}}$ be the S -equivalence class of $E_i^{\vee\vee}/E_i$ as a purely 0-dimensional sheaf. Then the S -equivalence class of $\widehat{E}$ with respect to E_0 -twisted stability is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\widehat{E}_i^{\vee\vee} \oplus \bigoplus_j \mathcal{E}_{x_{i,j}})$. By Proposition 2.13 and Remark 2.2 below, $\widehat{E}_i^{\vee\vee}$ is uniquely determined by $E_i^{\vee\vee}$. Hence the S -equivalence class of $\widehat{E}$ is uniquely determined by $E_i^{\vee\vee}$ and $x_{i,j}$. $\square$

Proposition 2.13. *Let F be an E_0 -twisted stable sheaf such that $\deg_{E_0}(F) = 0$ and $\chi(E_0, F) = 0$. Then*

- (1) $F = \mathcal{E}_x$, $x \in X$, or

(2) F fits in an exact sequence

$$(2.15) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n} \rightarrow 0,$$

where E is a μ -stable locally free sheaf.

Proof. If F is μ -stable, then we see that $F^{\vee\vee} \cong E_0$, and hence $\mathrm{rk} E_0 = 1$ and $F \cong \mathcal{E}_x$, $x \in X$. Assume that there is an exact sequence

$$(2.16) \quad 0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

where G_1 is a μ -stable sheaf of $\deg_{E_0}(G_1) = 0$ and G_2 is a μ -semi-stable sheaf of $\deg_{E_0}(G_2) = 0$. Then we get an exact sequence

$$(2.17) \quad 0 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{F} \rightarrow \widehat{G}_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since F is E_0 -twisted stable, $\widehat{F} = F$. In particular $\widehat{F}$ is E_0 -twisted stable. By the stability of G_1 , $\chi(E_0, G_1) < 0$, which implies that $\widehat{G}_1 \neq 0$. Therefore $\widehat{G}_1 \cong \widehat{F}$ and $\widehat{G}_2 = 0$. By using (2.5), we see that $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, G_2) \otimes E_0 \rightarrow G_2$ is an isomorphism. We note that $\widehat{G}_1$ fits in an exact sequence

$$(2.18) \quad 0 \rightarrow p_{2*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(G_1^{\vee\vee}/G_1)) \rightarrow \widehat{G}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{G}_1^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow 0.$$

By the stability of F , (i) $G_1^{\vee\vee}/G_1 = 0$, or (ii) $G_1^{\vee\vee}/G_1 = \mathbb{C}_x$, $x \in X$ and $\widehat{G}_1^{\vee\vee} = 0$. Therefore G_1 is locally free, or $F = \mathcal{E}_x$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. If F fits in the exact sequence (2.15), then $E = \ker(F \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F, E_0)^\vee \otimes E_0)$. Thus E is uniquely determined by F .

Example 2.1. Assume that $(X, H) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ and $E_0 = \Omega_X(1)$. Then we have a contraction

$$(2.19) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(2, -H, -n) \rightarrow \coprod_{0 \leq k \leq n} M_H(2, -H, -k)^{\mu-s, loc} \times S^{n-k} X$$

sending E to $(E^{\vee\vee}, gr(E^{\vee\vee}/E))$, where $gr(E^{\vee\vee}/E)$ is the S -equivalence class of $E^{\vee\vee}/E$.

Remark 2.3. For a μ -semi-stable sheaf E of $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 0$, $\mathcal{H}(E) := \mathrm{Ext}_{p_1}^1(p_2^*(E), \mathcal{E})$ is a semi-stable sheaf such that $\deg_{E_0^\vee}(\mathcal{H}(E)) = 0$ and $\chi(E_0^\vee, \mathcal{H}(E)) = 0$. Indeed, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is a μ -semi-stable sheaf such that $\deg_{E_0^\vee}(\mathcal{H}(E)) = 0$ and $\chi(E_0^\vee, \mathcal{H}(E)) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0^\vee, \mathcal{H}(E)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(p_2^*(E), \mathcal{E} \otimes p_1^*(E_0)) = 0$, $\mathcal{H}(E)$ is semi-stable. Hence we have a morphism $\psi_\gamma : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H^{E_0}(\gamma(e)) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H^{E_0^\vee}(\gamma(\hat{e}^\vee))$. It is easy to see that ψ_δ is an isomorphism and we get a commutative diagram.

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H^{E_0}(\gamma(e)) & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H^{E_0^\vee}(\gamma(e^\vee)) \\ & \nearrow \phi_{\gamma(e)} & & \searrow \psi_{\gamma(e)} & \nearrow \phi_{\gamma(e^\vee)} \\ \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H^{E_0}(\gamma(\hat{e})) & & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\gamma(\hat{e})}} & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H^{E_0^\vee}(\gamma(\hat{e}^\vee)) \end{array}$$

3. THE IMAGE OF THE CONTRACTION

3.1. Brill-Noether locus. We set $\widehat{\gamma} := m\gamma_0 - c\omega$. Assume that H is general with respect to $\widehat{\gamma}$, that is, H does not lie on walls with respect to $\widehat{\gamma}$ (cf. [M-W], [Y2], [Y4]). Hence $\mathcal{M}_H^{E_0}(\widehat{\gamma})^{ss} = \mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma})^{ss}$. We define Brill-Noether locus by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma}, n) := \{F \in \mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma})^{ss} \mid \dim \mathrm{Hom}(F, E_0) \geq n\}$$

and the open substack $\mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma}, n)_0 = \mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma}, n) \setminus \mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma}, n+1)$. By using determinantal ideal, $\mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma}, n)$ has a substack structure. Indeed, let $Q(\widehat{\gamma})$ be a standard open covering of $\mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma})^{\mu-ss}$, that is, $Q(\widehat{\gamma})$ is an open subscheme of a quot-scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X(-k)^{\oplus N}/X/\mathbb{C}}$, $k \gg 0$, $N = \chi(\widehat{\gamma}(k))$ whose points consist of quotients $\mathcal{O}_X(-k)^{\oplus N} \rightarrow F$ such that

- (i) $F \in \mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma})^{\mu-ss}$,
- (ii) $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus N}) \rightarrow H^0(X, F(k))$ is an isomorphism and $H^i(X, F(k)) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

We may assume that

$$(3.2) \quad H^i(X, E_0(k)) = 0, i > 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{Q(\widehat{\gamma}) \times X}(-k)^{\oplus N} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be the universal quotient and $\mathcal{K}$ the universal subsheaf. We set

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} V &:= \mathrm{Hom}_{p_{Q(\widehat{\gamma})}}(\mathcal{O}_{Q(\widehat{\gamma}) \times X}(-k)^{\oplus N}, \mathcal{O}_{Q(\widehat{\gamma})} \otimes E_0), \\ W &:= \mathrm{Hom}_{p_{Q(\widehat{\gamma})}}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}_{Q(\widehat{\gamma})} \otimes E_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{Q}_q, E_0) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and $q \in Q(\hat{\gamma})$, (3.2) implies that $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{K}_q, E_0) = 0$ for all $q \in Q(\hat{\gamma})$. Hence V and W are locally free sheaves on $Q(\hat{\gamma})$ and we have an exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{Q}_q, E_0) \rightarrow V_q \rightarrow W_q \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{Q}_q, E_0) \rightarrow 0, q \in Q(\hat{\gamma}).$$

Therefore we shall define the stack structure on $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n)$ as the zero locus of $\wedge^r V \rightarrow \wedge^r W$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n\gamma_0)$ be the moduli stack of isomorphism classes of $F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n}$ such that $F \in \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma})^{\mu\text{-ss}}$ and $\text{Hom}(E_0^{\oplus n}, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, E_0)$ is injective. We have a natural projection $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n\gamma_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n\gamma_0)_0$ be the open substack of $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n\gamma_0)$ such that $\text{Hom}(E_0^{\oplus n}, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, E_0)$ is isomorphic. By [ACGH, Chap.II sect. 2,3], $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n\gamma_0)_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n)_0$.

We shall show that $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and normal. By [ACGH, Chap.II Prop.(4.1)], if $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n)$ has an expected codimension, that is, $\text{codim } \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n) = n^2$, then $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. We shall estimate the dimension of substack $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n, p, a)$ of $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma})^{\mu\text{-ss}}$ consisting of $F \in \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma})^{\mu\text{-ss}}$ such that $\dim F^{\vee\vee}/F = p$ and $F^{\vee\vee}$ fits in an exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

where E is a μ -semi-stable sheaf of $\gamma(E) = r\gamma_0 - b\omega$, $G^{\vee\vee} \cong E_0^{\oplus n}$ and $\gamma(G) = n\gamma_0 - a\omega$.

Lemma 3.1. $\text{codim } \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n, p, a) \geq n^2 + (r \text{rk } E_0 - 1)(a + p)$.

Proof. For a locally free sheaf L , [Y1, Thm. 0.4] implies that

$$(3.6) \quad \dim \text{Quot}_{L/X/\mathbb{C}}^a = (\text{rk } L + 1)a.$$

Let N be the substack of $\mathcal{M}_H((r+n)\gamma_0 - (a+b)\omega)^{\mu\text{-ss}}$ consisting of F which fits in an exact sequence

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

where E is a μ -semi-stable sheaf of $\gamma(E) = r\gamma_0 - b\omega$, $G^{\vee\vee} \cong E_0^{\oplus n}$ and $\gamma(G) = n\gamma_0 - a\omega$. By [Y4, Lem. 5.2], we see that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim N &\leq \dim \mathcal{M}_H(r\gamma_0 - b\omega)^{\mu\text{-ss}} + \dim([\text{Quot}_{E_0^{\oplus n}/X/\mathbb{C}}^a / \text{Aut}(E_0^{\oplus n})]) - \chi(G, E) \\ &= (2rb \text{rk } E_0 - r^2) + ((n \text{rk } E_0 + 1)a - n^2) + ((ra + nb) \text{rk } E_0 - rn) \\ &= (r+n)((a+b) \text{rk } E_0 - (r+n)) + n(r+n) + a + br \text{rk } E_0 - n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by using (3.6) and the assumption $(a+b+p) \text{rk } E_0 = r+n$, we see that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n, p, a) &= \dim N + ((r+n) \text{rk } E_0 + 1)p \\ &\leq n(r+n) + a + p + br \text{rk } E_0 - n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{codim } \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n, p, a) &\geq (r+n)(2(a+b+p) \text{rk } E_0 - (r+n)) - (n(r+n) + a + p + br \text{rk } E_0 - n^2) \\ &= (r+n)^2 - n(r+n) - (a + p + br \text{rk } E_0 - n^2) \\ &= n^2 + (r \text{rk } E_0 - 1)(a + p). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *If $r := m - n \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Assume that $r \text{rk } E_0 \geq 2$. Since $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n)} \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n+1) \geq 2n+1$, we shall show that $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n)_0 \cong \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n\gamma_0)_0$ is regular in codimension 1. For an element $F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n}$ of $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n\gamma_0)_0$, the obstruction for smoothness belongs to $\text{Ext}^2(F, F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n})$.

Lemma 3.3. *If $F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n}$ is surjective or F is locally free, then $\text{Ext}^2(F, F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n}) = 0$.*

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$(3.11) \quad \text{Ext}^2(F, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(F, F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(F, G \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n}),$$

where $G := \text{im}(F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n})$. Then $\text{Ext}^2(F, E) = \text{Hom}(E, F(K_X))^\vee = 0$. Since $\text{Ext}^2(F, G \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n}) = \text{Ext}^1(F, E_0^{\oplus n}/G)$, we get our claim. □

If $a + p \geq 2$, then $\text{codim } \mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n, p, a) \geq 2$. If $a + p \leq 1$, then Lemma 3.3 implies that $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n)$ is smooth on $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n, p, a)$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}, n)$ is regular in codimension 1. By Serre's criterion, $\mathcal{M}_H(\hat{\gamma}; n)$ is normal.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that $\text{rk } E_0 \geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n)$, $n := m - r$ is normal and general member F fits in an exact sequence*

$$(3.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow E_0^{\oplus n} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(\widehat{\gamma} - n\gamma_0)^{\mu-s, loc}$ and $\text{Hom}(E, E_0) = 0$.

The following is a partial answer to [Ma3, Question 6.5].

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that $\text{rk } E_0 \geq 2$. For $n := m - r$, we set*

$$(3.13) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n) := \{F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}) \mid \dim \text{Hom}(F, E_0) \geq n\}.$$

Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n)$ is normal, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n) = \phi_\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\gamma))$ and we have an identification

$$(3.14) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n) = \coprod_{r_i, a_i, n_i, l} \coprod_i S^{n_i} M_H(r_i \gamma_0 - a_i \omega)^{\mu-s, loc} \times S^l X$$

where r_i, a_i, n_i, l satisfy that $a_i \text{rk } E_0 \geq r_i$, $(r_i, a_i) \neq (r_j, a_j)$ for $i \neq j$, $l + \sum_i n_i a_i = a$ and $\sum_i n_i r_i \leq r = m - n$. Therefore $\phi_\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\gamma))$ is normal.

Proof. By Proposition 3.4, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n)$ is normal. Moreover $\phi_\gamma(M_H(\gamma)^{\mu-s, loc})$ is a dense subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n)$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n) = \phi_\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\gamma))$. Let F be a poly-stable sheaf of $\gamma(F) = \widehat{\gamma}$, i.e. F is a direct sum of E_0 -twisted stable sheaves. By Proposition 2.13, there are μ -stable locally free sheaves E_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ of $\gamma(E_i) = r_i \gamma_0 - a_i \omega$ and points $x_j \in X$, $1 \leq j \leq l$ such that $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \widehat{E}_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^l \mathcal{E}_{x_j}$. Since $\dim \text{Hom}(\widehat{E}_i, E_0) = a_i \text{rk } E_0 - r_i$ and $\dim \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{x_j}, E_0) = \text{rk } E_0$, we see that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}(F, E_0) &= \sum_i (a_i \text{rk } E_0 - r_i) + l \text{rk } E_0 \\ &= a \text{rk } E_0 - \sum_i r_i = m - \sum_i r_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence F belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(\widehat{\gamma}; n)$ if and only if $\sum_i r_i \leq m - n = r$. Then the last claim follows from this. $\square$

4. THE CASE WHERE $\deg_{E_0}(E) = 1$

4.1. Twisted coherent systems and correspondences. In this section, we shall treat the case where the twisted degree is 1. This case was highly motivated by Ellingsrud and Strømme's paper [E-S]. Assume that $\text{rk } e_0(-K_X, H) > 1$. Let e be a class in $K(X)$ such that $\text{rk } e > 0$ and $\deg_{e_0}(e) = 1$. We set $\gamma := \gamma(e)$ and $\gamma_0 := \gamma(e_0)$. For a stable sheaf E of $\gamma(E) = \gamma$, $\text{Hom}(E, E_0) = 0$. Since $\deg_{E_0}(E(K_X)) = \deg_{E_0}(E) + \text{rk } E \text{rk } E_0(K_X, H) < 0$, we get $\text{Ext}^2(E, E_0) = \text{Hom}(E_0, E(K_X))^\vee = 0$. Hence $-\chi(e, e_0) \geq 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *$M_H(\gamma)$ is compact and there is a universal family on $M_H(\gamma) \times X$.*

Proof. Since $\deg_{e_0}(e) = \text{rk } e_0(c_1(e), H) - \text{rk } e(c_1(e_0), H) = 1$, $\text{rk } e$ and $(c_1(e), H)$ are relatively prime. Hence there is a universal family. $\square$

In order to construct a correspondence, we consider E_0 -twisted coherent systems. Let $\text{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$ be the moduli space of E_0 -twisted coherent systems:

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma) := \{(E, V) \mid E \in M_H(\gamma), V \subset \text{Hom}(E_0, E), \dim V = n\}.$$

$\text{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$ is a projective scheme over $M_H(\gamma)$ (cf. [Le]).

We set

$$(4.2) \quad M_H(\gamma)_i := \{E \in M_H(\gamma) \mid \dim \text{Hom}(E_0, E) = i\}.$$

If $i \geq n$, then $\text{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma) \times_{M_H(\gamma)} M_H(\gamma)_i \rightarrow M_H(\gamma)_i$ is $Gr(i, n)$ -bundle.

Lemma 4.2. [Y3, Lem. 2.1] *For $E \in M_H(\gamma)$ and $V \subset \text{Hom}(E_0, E)$,*

- (i) *$ev : V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E$ is injective and $\text{coker}(ev)$ is stable.*
- (ii) *$ev : V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E$ is surjective in codimension 1 and $\ker(ev)$ is stable.*

Lemma 4.3. *If $ev : V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E$ is surjective in codimension 1, then*

- (i) *$D(E) := \mathcal{E}xt^1(V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a stable sheaf of $\deg_{E_0^\vee} D(E) = 1$.*
- (ii) *$\text{Ext}^1(E_0, E) = 0$.*

In particular $\chi(\gamma_0, \gamma) \geq n$.

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathrm{im}(ev) \rightarrow E, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\ker(ev), \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathrm{im}(ev) \rightarrow E, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

By Lemma 4.2, $\ker(ev)$ is stable and $\mathrm{coker}(ev)$ is of 0-dimensional. Then $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathrm{im}(ev) \rightarrow E, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathrm{coker}(ev), \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathrm{im}(ev) \rightarrow E, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathrm{coker}(ev), \mathcal{O}_X)$ is of 0-dimensional. Hence $D(X)$ is stable.

We next show that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E) = 0$. Since $\ker(ev)$ is stable, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \mathrm{Ext}^2(E_0, \ker(ev)) = \mathrm{Hom}(\ker(ev), E_0(K_X))^\vee = 0.$$

Combining the fact $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E_0) = 0$, we see that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, \mathrm{im}(ev)) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, \mathrm{coker}(ev)) = 0$, we get $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E) = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. $\mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$ is smooth and $\dim \mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma) = \dim M_H(\gamma) - n(n - \chi(\gamma_0, \gamma))$.

Proof. Let $(E, V) \in \mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$ be a E_0 -twisted coherent system. Since $V \subset \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, E)$, we have a homomorphism

$$(4.5) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(V \otimes E_0, V \otimes E_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(V \otimes E_0, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E, E).$$

Then the cokernel is the Zariski tangent space of $\mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$ and the obstruction space is $\mathrm{Ext}^2(V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E, E)$. If $\mathrm{rk}(\gamma - n\gamma_0) \geq 0$, then $\mathrm{Ext}^2(V \otimes E_0 \xrightarrow{ev} E, E) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathrm{coker}(ev), E) = 0$. If $\mathrm{rk}(\gamma - n\gamma_0) < 0$, then by using Lemma 4.3 and an exact sequence

$$(4.6) \quad \mathrm{Ext}^1(V \otimes E_0, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2(V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2(E, E),$$

we see that $\mathrm{Ext}^2(V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E, E) = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$ is smooth. Then we see that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma) &= \dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E, E) - \dim \mathrm{PGL}(V) \\ &= -\chi(E, E) + n\chi(E_0, E) - n^2 \\ &= \dim M_H(\gamma) - n(n - \chi(\gamma_0, \gamma)). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proposition 4.5. We set $m := -\chi(\gamma, \gamma_0)$.

- (i) If $\mathrm{rk} \gamma \geq n \mathrm{rk} \gamma_0$, then $\mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$ is a $\mathrm{Gr}(m+n, n)$ -bundle over $M_H(\gamma - n\gamma_0)$.
- (ii) If $\mathrm{rk} \gamma < n \mathrm{rk} \gamma_0$, then $\mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma) \cong \mathrm{Syst}((E_0^\vee)^{\oplus n}, n\gamma_0^\vee - \gamma^\vee)$. In particular $\mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$ is a $\mathrm{Gr}(m+n, n)$ -bundle over $M_H(n\gamma_0^\vee - \gamma^\vee)$.

Proof. We first assume that $\mathrm{rk} \gamma \geq n \mathrm{rk} \gamma_0$. For $(E, V) \in \mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$, Lemma 4.2 implies that $ev : V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E$ is injective and $\mathrm{coker}(ev)$ is stable. Thus we have a morphism $\pi_n : \mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma) \rightarrow M_H(\gamma - n\gamma_0)$. Conversely for $G \in M_H(\gamma - n\gamma_0)$ and an n -dimensional subspace U of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(G, E_0)$, we have an extension

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow U^\vee \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

whose extension corresponds to the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(G, E_0)$. Then E is stable. Since $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(G, E_0) = -\chi(G, E_0) = -\chi(\gamma - n\gamma_0, \gamma_0)$ and there is a universal family, we see that π_n is a (Zariski locally trivial) $\mathrm{Gr}(m+n, n)$ -bundle. Therefore we get our claim.

We next treat the second case. For $(E, V) \in \mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma)$, $D(E) := \mathcal{E}xt^1(V \otimes E_0 \rightarrow E, \mathcal{O}_X)$ fits in an exact sequence

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow E^\vee \rightarrow (V \otimes E_0)^\vee \rightarrow D(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(E, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $(V \otimes E_0)^\vee \rightarrow D(E)$ defines a point of $\mathrm{Syst}((E_0^\vee)^{\oplus n}, n\gamma_0^\vee - \gamma^\vee)$. Thus we get a morphism $\psi : \mathrm{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Syst}((E_0^\vee)^{\oplus n}, n\gamma_0^\vee - \gamma^\vee)$. Conversely for $(F, U) \in \mathrm{Syst}((E_0^\vee)^{\oplus n}, n\gamma_0^\vee - \gamma^\vee)$, we get a homomorphism $U^\vee \otimes E_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1(U \otimes E_0^\vee \rightarrow F, \mathcal{O}_X)$. It gives the inverse of ψ (for more details, see [K-Y, Prop. 5.128]). $\square$

Lemma 4.6. (i) If $\mathrm{rk}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma_0, \gamma)\gamma_0) \geq 0$, then $\overline{M_H(\gamma)}_i = \emptyset$ for $\mathrm{rk}(\gamma - i\gamma_0) < 0$.

(ii) If $\mathrm{rk}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma_0, \gamma)\gamma_0) < 0$, then $M_H(\gamma)_{\chi(\gamma_0, \gamma)} = M_H(\gamma)$.

Proof. If $\dim(E_0, E) = i$ with $\mathrm{rk}(\gamma - i\gamma_0) < 0$, then Lemma 4.3 implies that $\chi(\gamma_0, \gamma) \geq i$. Hence $\mathrm{rk}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma_0, \gamma)\gamma_0) < 0$. By Lemma 4.3, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E) = 0$ for all $E \in M_H(\gamma)$. Hence $M_H(\gamma)_{\chi(\gamma_0, \gamma)} = M_H(\gamma)$. $\square$

By using Proposition 4.5, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. We set $\zeta := \gamma(L_{e_0}(e)) = \gamma - \chi(\gamma, \gamma_0)\gamma_0$ and $s := -(K_X, c_1(e_0^\vee \otimes e))$. Assume that $n := -\chi(\gamma, \gamma_0) > 0$. Then $M_H(\gamma) \cong \text{Syst}(E_0^{\oplus n}, \zeta)$ and we get a morphism $\lambda_{\gamma_0, \gamma} : M_H(\gamma) \rightarrow M_H(\zeta)$ by sending E to a universal extension

$$(4.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_0 \otimes \text{Ext}^1(E, E_0)^\vee \rightarrow \lambda_{\gamma_0, \gamma}(E) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we have a stratification

$$(4.11) \quad M_H(\gamma) = \coprod_{i \geq s} \lambda_{\gamma_0, \gamma}^{-1}(M_H(\zeta)_i)$$

such that $\lambda_{\gamma_0, \gamma}^{-1}(M_H(\zeta)_i) \rightarrow M_H(\zeta)_i$ is a $\text{Gr}(i, n)$ -bundle. In particular, $M_H(\gamma)_0 \rightarrow M_H(\zeta)_n$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq s$.

Corollary 4.8. If $0 > \chi(e_0, e) = -k \geq -s$, then $M_H(\gamma(e)) \rightarrow M_H(\gamma(L_{e_0}(e)))$ is birationally $\text{Gr}(s, k)$ -bundle. In particular, if $\chi(e_0, e) = -s$, then $M_H(\gamma(e)) \rightarrow M_H(\gamma(L_{e_0}(e)))$ is a birational map.

Example 4.1. Assume that $(X, H) = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, n))$, $n > 0$. We set $L := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, n+1)$. Then $(L, H) = 1$, $s = (L, -K_X) = 2n$ and $\chi(L) = 0$. Hence $M_H(1+r, L, r) \cong \text{Gr}(2n, r)$.

4.2. Virtual Hodge polynomial. We set $a := -\chi(\gamma, \gamma_0)$. Assume that $\text{rk}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma_0, \gamma)\gamma_0) \geq 0$. We shall consider virtual Hodge polynomial of $M_H(\gamma + k\gamma_0)_i$. For an algebraic set Z ,

$$(4.12) \quad e(Z) := \sum_{p, q} (-1)^{p+q} h^{p, q}(Z) x^p y^q$$

is the virtual Hodge polynomial of Z (cf. [D-K]). We set $t := xy$. Then

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} e(M_H(\gamma + k\gamma_0)_j) &= e(\text{Gr}(a+j-k, j)) e(M_H(\gamma + (k-j)\gamma_0)_0) \\ &= \frac{[a+j-k]!}{[a-k]![j]!} e(M_H(\gamma + (k-j)\gamma_0)_0), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.14) \quad [n] := \frac{t^n - 1}{t - 1}, \quad [n]! := [n][n-1] \cdots [1].$$

By summing up all $e(M_H(\gamma + k\gamma_0)_k)$, we get

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_k [a-k]! e(M_H(\gamma + k\gamma_0)) y^k = \left(\sum_j \frac{1}{[j]!} y^j \right) \left(\sum_l [a-l]! e(M_H(\gamma + l\gamma_0)_0) y^l \right).$$

Since

$$(4.16) \quad \left(\sum_j \frac{1}{[j]!} y^j \right)^{-1} = \sum_j \frac{(-1)^j t^{j(j-1)/2}}{[j]!} y^j,$$

we get that

Lemma 4.9. If $\text{rk}(\gamma - \chi(\gamma_0, \gamma)\gamma_0) \geq 0$, then

$$(4.17) \quad e(M_H(\gamma + l\gamma_0)_0) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{j(j-1)/2} \frac{[a+j-l]!}{[a-l]![j]!} e(M_H(\gamma + (l-j)\gamma_0)).$$

In particular

$$(4.18) \quad e(M_H(\gamma + k\gamma_0)_i) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{j(j-1)/2} \frac{[a-k+i+j]!}{[a-k]![i]![j]!} e(M_H(\gamma + (k-i-j)\gamma_0)).$$

Since $M_H(\gamma + l\gamma_0)_0 = \emptyset$ for $a-s < l \leq a$, we also get the following relations:

$$(4.19) \quad \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{j(j-1)/2} \frac{[a+j-l]!}{[a-l]![j]!} e(M_H(\gamma + (l-j)\gamma_0)) = 0$$

for $a-s < l \leq a$.

4.3. **Examples on $\mathbb{P}^2$.** From now on, we assume that X is $\mathbb{P}^2$. Then $s = -(K_X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = 3$. Hence we get the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.20) \quad & \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{j(j-1)/2} e(M_H(\gamma + (a-j)\gamma_0)) = 0, \\
& \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{j(j-1)/2} [j+1] e(M_H(\gamma + (a-1-j)\gamma_0)) = 0, \\
& \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{j(j-1)/2} \frac{[j+2][j+1]}{[2]!} e(M_H(\gamma + (a-2-j)\gamma_0)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By a simple calculation, we get

Proposition 4.10.

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.21) \quad & e(M_H(\gamma + (a-2)\gamma_0)) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{(j+1)j/2} \frac{[j+3][j+2]}{[2]!} e(M_H(\gamma + (a-3-j)\gamma_0)), \\
& e(M_H(\gamma + (a-1)\gamma_0)) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{(j+1)j/2} [j+3][j+1] e(M_H(\gamma + (a-3-j)\gamma_0)), \\
& e(M_H(\gamma + a\gamma_0)) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j t^{(j+1)j/2} \frac{[j+2][j+1]}{[2]!} e(M_H(\gamma + (a-3-j)\gamma_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $E_0 := \mathcal{O}_X$. We set $\gamma := \gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$. Then

$$(4.22) \quad M_H(\gamma - a\omega - \gamma_0) = \{\mathcal{O}_l(1-a) \mid l \text{ is a line on } \mathbb{P}^2\} \cong \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Hence $M_H(\gamma - a\omega)_1$, $a \geq 2$ is a $\mathbb{P}^a$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2$. By the morphism $M_H(\gamma - a\omega) \rightarrow M_H(\gamma - a\omega + a\gamma_0)$, the fibers of $M_H(\gamma - a\omega)_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are contracted.

Example 4.2. If $a = 2$, then $M_H(\gamma - 2\omega + 2\gamma_0) \cong M_H(\gamma^2 - \gamma_0) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. That is, $E \in M_H(\gamma - 2\omega + 2\gamma_0)$ fits in a universal extension

$$(4.23) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_l(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover we see that $M_H(\gamma - 2\omega + i\gamma_0)$, $i = 0, 1$ are $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle over $M_H(\gamma - 2\omega + 2\gamma_0) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$.

Example 4.3. If $a = 3$, then $M_H(\gamma - 3\omega) \rightarrow M_H(\gamma - 3\omega + 3\gamma_0)$ is the blow-up of $M_H(\gamma - 3\omega + 3\gamma_0)_4 \cong M_H(\gamma - 3\omega - \gamma_0)$. This was obtained by Drezet [D3, IV].

By [E-S] and [Y1], we know $e(M_H(r, H, \chi))$ for $r = 1, 2$. By using Proposition 4.10, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.24) \quad & e(M_H(1, H, 0)) = 1 + 2t + 5t^2 + 6t^3 + 5t^4 + 2t^5 + t^6, \\
& e(M_H(2, H, 1)) = 1 + 2t + 6t^2 + 9t^3 + 12t^4 + 9t^5 + 6t^6 + 2t^7 + t^8, \\
& e(M_H(3, H, 2)) = 1 + 2t + 5t^2 + 8t^3 + 10t^4 + 8t^5 + 5t^6 + 2t^7 + t^8, \\
& e(M_H(4, H, 3)) = 1 + t + 3t^2 + 3t^3 + 3t^4 + t^5 + t^6.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.25) \quad & e(M_H(1, H, -1)) = 1 + 2t + 6t^2 + 10t^3 + 13t^4 + 10t^5 + 6t^6 + 2t^7 + t^8, \\
& e(M_H(2, H, 0)) = 1 + 2t + 6t^2 + 13t^3 + 24t^4 + 35t^5 + 41t^6 + 35t^7 + 24t^8 + 13t^9 + 6t^{10} + 2t^{11} + t^{12}, \\
& e(M_H(3, H, 1)) = 1 + 2t + 6t^2 + 12t^3 + 24t^4 + 38t^5 + 54t^6 + 59t^7 + 54t^8 + 38t^9 + 24t^{10} + 12t^{11} + 6t^{12} + 2t^{13} + t^{14}, \\
& e(M_H(4, H, 2)) = 1 + 2t + 5t^2 + 10t^3 + 18t^4 + 28t^5 + 38t^6 + 42t^7 + 38t^8 + 28t^9 + 18t^{10} + 10t^{11} + 5t^{12} + 2t^{13} + t^{14}, \\
& e(M_H(5, H, 3)) = 1 + t + 3t^2 + 5t^3 + 8t^4 + 10t^5 + 12t^6 + 10t^7 + 8t^8 + 5t^9 + 3t^{10} + t^{11} + t^{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $E_0 := \Omega_X(1)$, then $\deg_{E_0}(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$. We set $\gamma = \gamma(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Then

- $M_H(\gamma - a\omega) \rightarrow M_H(\gamma - a\omega + 2a\gamma_0)$ is a closed immersion for $a \geq 2$.
- If $a = 2$, then $M_H(\gamma - 2\omega + \gamma_0) \rightarrow M_H(\gamma - 2\omega + 4\gamma_0)$ is the blow-up along $M_H(\gamma - 2\omega)$.

Here we remark that Drezet showed that $M_H(\gamma - 2\omega + 4\gamma_0) = M_H(9, -4H, -1) \cong Gr(6, 2)$ (see [D1, Appendice]). Since $e(M_H(1, 0, -1)) = 1 + 2t + 3t^3 + 2t^3 + t^4$ and $e(M_H(3, -H, -1)) = e(M_H(3, H, 2))$, Proposition 4.10 implies that

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} e(M_H(3, -H, -1)) &= 1 + 2t + 5t^2 + 8t^3 + 10t^4 + 8t^5 + 5t^6 + 2t^7 + t^8, \\ e(M_H(5, -2H, -1)) &= 1 + 2t + 5t^2 + 8t^3 + 13t^4 + 14t^5 + 13t^6 + 8t^7 + 5t^8 + 2t^9 + t^{10}, \\ e(M_H(7, -3H, -1)) &= 1 + 2t + 4t^2 + 6t^3 + 9t^4 + 10t^5 + 9t^6 + 6t^7 + 4t^8 + 2t^9 + t^{10}, \\ e(M_H(9, -4H, -1)) &= 1 + t + 2t^2 + 2t^3 + 3t^4 + 2t^5 + 2t^6 + t^7 + t^8 (= e(Gr(6, 2))). \end{aligned}$$

4.3.1. *Line bundles on $M_H(\gamma)$.* Let $p_{M_H(\gamma(e))} : M_H(\gamma(e)) \times X \rightarrow M_H(\gamma(e))$ and $q : M_H(\gamma(e)) \times X \rightarrow X$ be projections, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a universal family on $M_H(\gamma(e)) \times X$. We define a homomorphism $\theta_e : e^\perp \rightarrow \text{Pic}(M_H(\gamma(e)))$ by

$$(4.27) \quad \theta_e(x) := \det p_{M_H(\gamma(e))}^*(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes q^*(x)),$$

where $e^\perp := \{x \in K(X) | \chi(e, x) = 0\}$. The following is a special case of Drezet's results.

Theorem 4.11. [D2] *Assume that $\dim M_H(\gamma(e)) = 1 - \chi(e, e) > 0$. Then θ_e is surjective and*

- (i) θ_e is an isomorphism, if $\chi(e, e) < 0$,
- (ii) $\ker \theta_e = \mathbb{Z}e_0$, if $\chi(e, e_0) = 0$.

We set $\tilde{e} := L_{e_0}(e)$. By a simple calculation, we see that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} e^\perp & \xleftarrow{R_{e_0}} & \tilde{e}^\perp / e_0 \\ \theta_e \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{\tilde{e}} \\ \text{Pic}(M_H(\gamma(e))) & \xleftarrow{\lambda_{\gamma(e_0), \gamma(e)}^*} & \text{Pic}(M_H(\gamma(\tilde{e}))) \end{array}$$

We set $\alpha_e := -(\text{rk } e)\mathcal{O}_H + \chi(e, \mathcal{O}_H)\mathbb{C}_P$. Then it gives a map to the Uhlenbeck compactification [Li]. $\beta_e := R_{e_0}(\alpha_{\tilde{e}})$ gives the map $\lambda_{\gamma(e_0), \gamma(e)} : M_H(\gamma(e)) \rightarrow M_H(\gamma(\tilde{e}))$.

- If $E_0 = \mathcal{O}_X$, $\text{rk } e > 0$ and $\chi(e, e_0) < 0$, then the nef. cone of $M_H(\gamma(e))$ is generated by α_e and β_e .

This is a generalization of [S].

For $\gamma := (3, H, 5 - a)$, we set $\gamma_0 := (1, 0, 1)$, $\gamma_1 := \gamma(\Omega_X(1)) = (2, -H, 0)$, $\delta := \gamma + a\gamma_0$ and $\eta := \gamma^\vee + (2a - 3)\gamma_1$. Then we get the following diagram:

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & M_H(\gamma) & \leftarrow \cdots \rightarrow & M_H(\gamma^\vee) & \\ \lambda_{\gamma_0, \gamma} \swarrow & & & & \searrow \lambda_{\gamma_1, \gamma^\vee} \\ M_H(\delta) & & N_H(\gamma) & & M_H(\eta) \end{array}$$

where $N_H(\gamma)$ is the Uhlenbeck compactification of $M_H(\gamma)^{\mu-s, loc}$. $M_H(\gamma^\vee)$ contains $\mathbb{P}^{2a-3}$ -bundle over $M_H(1, 0, 2-a)$ and $\lambda_{\gamma_0, \gamma^\vee}$ contracts the fibers. $\lambda_{\gamma_0, \gamma}|_{M_H(\gamma)_i}$ is a $Gr(a-2+i, a-2)$ -bundle over $M_H(\delta)_{a-2+i} \cong M_H(\gamma - i\gamma_0)_0$. Then it is easy to see that $M_H(3, H, 5-a) \not\cong M_H(3, -H, 2-a)$.

Acknowledgement. A starting point of this note is [E-S]. I would like to thank M. Maruyama for giving me a preprint version of [E-S].

REFERENCES

- [ACGH] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths, P., Harris, J., *Geometry of Algebraic Curves Volume I*, Springer-Verlag, 1985
- [Br] Bridgeland, T., *Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), 25–34, math.AG/9809114
- [D-K] Danilov, V.I., Khovanskii, A.G., *Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for calculating Hodge Deligne numbers*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **29** (1987), 279–298
- [D1] Drezet, J.-M., *Fibrés exceptionnels et variétés de modules de faisceaux semi-stables sur $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$* , J. reine angew. Math. **380** (1987), 14–58
- [D2] Drezet, J.-M., *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de faisceaux semi-stables sur $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier **38** (1988), pp. 105–168
- [D3] Drezet, J.-M., *Cohomologie des variétés de modules de hauteur nulle*, Math. Ann. **281** (1988), 43–85
- [D-L] Drezet, J.-M., Le-Potier, J., *Fibrés stables et fibrés exceptionnels sur $\mathbb{P}^2$* , Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, t. **18** (1985), 193–244
- [E-S] Ellingsrud, G., Strømme, S. A., *Towards the Chow ring of the Hilbert scheme on $\mathbb{P}^2$* , J. reine angew. Math. **441** (1993), 33–44
- [K-Y] Kawai, T., Yoshioka, K., *String partition functions and infinite products*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000), 397–485, hep-th/0002169
- [Le] Le Potier, J., *Systèmes cohérents et structures de niveau*, Astérisque 214, Société Mathématique de France, 1993

- [Li] Li, J., *Compactification of moduli of vector bundles over algebraic surfaces*, Collection of papers on geometry, analysis and mathematical physics, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, (1997), 98–113
- [Ma1] Maruyama, M., *Moduli of stable sheaves II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), 557–614
- [Ma2] Maruyama, M., *Vector bundles on P^2 and torsion sheaves on the dual plane*, Vector bundles on Algebraic Varieties, Oxford, 1987, 275–339,
- [Ma3] Maruyama, M., *On a compactification of a moduli space of stable vector bundles on a rational surface*, Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I, 233–260, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988
- [M-W] Matsuki, K., Wentworth, R., *Mumford-Thaddeus principle on the moduli space of vector bundles on an algebraic surface*, Internat. J. Math. **8** (1997), 97–148
- [Mu1] Mukai, S., *On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces I*, Vector bundles on Algebraic Varieties, Oxford, 1987, 341–413
- [Mu2] Mukai, S., *Fourier functor and its application to the moduli of bundles on an Abelian variety*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **10** (1987), 515–550
- [S] Strømme, S. A., *Ample Divisors on Fine Moduli spaces on the Projective Plane*, Math. Z. **187** (1984), 405–423
- [Y1] Yoshioka, K., *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on $\mathbb{P}^2$* , J. reine angew. Math. **453** (1994), 193–220
- [Y2] Yoshioka, K., *Chamber structure of polarizations and the moduli of stable sheaves on a ruled surface*, Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), 411–431
- [Y3] Yoshioka, K., *Some examples of Mukai’s reflections on K3 surfaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **515** (1999), 97–123
- [Y4] Yoshioka, K., *Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces*, math.AG/9907001
- [Y5] Yoshioka, K., *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces*, math.AG/0009001, Math. Ann. to appear
- [Y6] Yoshioka, K., *Twisted stability and Fourier-Mukai transform*, preprint

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KOBE UNIVERSITY, KOBE, 657, JAPAN
E-mail address: yoshioka@math.kobe-u.ac.jp